

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION N	0.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/787,195 04/17/2001		04/17/2001	Andrew Dames	P07109US00/	6088
881	7590	04/26/2006		EXAMINER	
		SON PLLC	ALEXANDER, LYLE		
1199 NORTH FAIRFAX STREET SUITE 900				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
ALEXANDRIA, VA 22314				1743	
				DATE MAILED: 04/26/2006	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		(
	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	09/787,195	DAMES ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Lyle A. Alexander	1743				
The MAILING DATE of this communicat Period for Reply	ion appears on the cover sheet w	th the correspondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAIL - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communic. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statuto. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ING DATE OF THIS COMMUNION (CFR 1.136(a)). In no event, however, may a pation. The period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON by statute, cause the application to become Afficial to the period will expire SIX (6) MON by statute.	CATION. eply be timely filed ITHS from the mailing date of this communication. BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed o	n 23 <i>March 2</i> 006.					
	☐ This action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
closed in accordance with the practice u	ınder <i>Ex par</i> te Q <i>uayle</i> , 1935 C.D	. 11, 453 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims						
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1,2 and 4-10 is/are pending in 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are with 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-2 and 4-10 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction	vithdrawn from consideration.					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Ex 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) Applicant may not request that any objection Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by	accepted or b) objected to to the drawing(s) be held in abeyar correction is required if the drawing	ce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). (s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for the a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority doces. 2. Certified copies of the priority doces. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the application from the International. * See the attached detailed Office action for	uments have been received. uments have been received in A ne priority documents have been Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	pplication No received in this National Stage				
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)		summary (PTO-413)				
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-9	Paper No(s	s)/Mail Date Iformal Patent Application (PTO-152)				
 Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO Paper No(s)/Mail Date 	/SB/08) 5) Notice of in					

Application/Control Number: 09/787,195

Art Unit: 1743

Applicants' 3/23/06 letter states the declaration by Peter Swarbrick was mailed 12/22/05 and received by the Office as evidenced by the mail room receipt. Applicants' state the Office should have considered this declaration in the final Office action mailed 3/3/06. It appears Applicants' have made a good faith effort to submit the declaration in a timely manner and it appears to be the fault of the Office the declaration was not considered in the 3/3/06 final rejection. The Office will consider the declaration and restart the period for response as of the date of the mailing of this Office action.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.

Claims 1-2 and 4-10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over EP 0395300, Rigby et al. or Aurenius.

See the appropriate paragraph of the 3/3/06 final Office action.

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments filed 12/22/05 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

See the appropriate paragraph of the 3/3/06 final Office action.

The Declaration under 37 CFR 1.132 filed 12/22/05 is insufficient to overcome the rejection of the claims based upon the rejections as set forth in the last Office action.

MPEP section 716.01(c) states secondary consideration may be probative in overcoming 35 USC 102 or 103 rejections. Although an affidavit or declaration which states only conclusions may have some probative value, such an affidavit or declaration

Application/Control Number: 09/787,195

Art Unit: 1743

may have little weight when considered in light of all the evidence of record in the application. In re Brandstadter, 484 F.2d 1395,179 USPQ 286 (CCPA 1973).

The 12/22/05 Declaration has been considered and given the appropriate weight. The Declaration states the opinion's of Dr. Swarbrick comparing the art/rejections of record to his interpretation of the invention. His opinion is held in high esteem but is not sufficient to overcome the 35 USC 103 rejection of record.

Applicants' may considered submitting a proper and timely 1.132 declaration that would show data of the instant invention pertaining experimental results. Presumably the instant invention has superior results (e.g. greater sensitivity) over the prior art based upon the greater density of solid supports that can be applied (e.g. smaller supports would translate into more supports for a given area) or the same number of supports can be applied to a smaller area. If such a declaration were submitted, the claims should be commensurate in scope with the declaration.

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of

Art Unit: 1743

the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Lyle A. Alexander whose telephone number is 571-272-1254. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday, Wednesday and Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Jill Warden can be reached on 571-272-1267. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Lyle A Alexander Primary Examiner Art Unit 1743